

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 49091

Title: Social media in cardiology: Reasons to learn how to use it

Reviewer's code: 00227375

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-20 05:55

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-21 00:49

Review time: 18 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent editorial about the the reasons why learning how to use social media to be part of the conversation is essential. This manuscript is nicely structured and well



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

written. I have no question about this manuscript.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 49091

Title: Social media in cardiology: Reasons to learn how to use it

Reviewer's code: 03846820

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACC,MD

Professional title: Academic Research,Assistant Professor,Doctor

Reviewer's country: Netherlands

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-20 08:46

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-21 02:45

Review time: 17 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, The paper represents an Editorial Letter which is summarizing the main reasons why learning how to use these tools to be part of the conversation is essential for

the cardiologist. The article is written with the good English-speaking adduction of the arguments. The article is sufficiently novel and very interesting to warrant publication. All the key elements are presented and described clearly. The most discussable options in the article are: 1) Would you please kindly correct all your typos (incl. dots, articles) throughout the manuscript. I would suggest even to scan the paper with Grammarly. For instance, check out your Abstract, the second paragraph. 2) It seems to me that the paper and thoughts are nice, but it might be great to elaborate your ideas for instance with some SoMe statistics (always published immediately after the conference incl. number of participants, tweets, endorsements and so on) from one of the international meetings revealing the scale and professional value of SoMe. 3) Do you think this is a place to discuss a role for the networking, dissemination of the accomplishments, a dialogue with the patient. I see there is a room for the elaboration.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 49091

Title: Social media in cardiology: Reasons to learn how to use it

Reviewer's code: 02602138

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's country: Iran

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-20 14:15

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-21 23:16

Review time: 1 Day and 9 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I found the editorial manuscript by Vidal-Perez et al. "Social media in cardiology: Reasons to learn how to use it" quite interesting as it has addressed a hot topic in day to

day practice. It is a must to do for any physician to get involved in social media because it offers unique opportunities for networking, dissemination of the knowledge, and get in touch with people who are increasingly interested in learning more about the causes and processes in their problems especially those with chronic character such as cardiac problems. considering this broad range of utilities we may define for social media, I think we need a more comprehensive approach to it. For instance, the authors are recommended to add more details and examples on different types of social media and their application in cardiology. They should not overlook to tell the history of social media employment in cardiology. Moreover, they should note that some indices such as Altmetric are based on this concept. Youtube which as the second common search engine after google, is a social media itself which should be discussed. There are typos that needs correction in revision such as: worth to mention, many information, there no boundaries..., the paragraph starting with "and the reason to take note of these tools..."

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 49091

Title: Social media in cardiology: Reasons to learn how to use it

Reviewer's code: 02446694

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACC,FAHA,MD,PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-20 17:29

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-25 00:25

Review time: 4 Days and 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors commented the status of social media in the field of cardiology and the reasons to how to use social media in medicine. The contents of present editorial seems



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

to be acceptable, however, the impact seems to be weak. #The authors should provide any figure or table to give the readers impact more strongly.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No