

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 65907

Title: Chronic total occlusion revascularization: A complex piece to "complete" the

puzzle

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04094127 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Physician, Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-17

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-19 02:19

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-30 13:03

Review time: 11 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although treatment of coronary chronic total occlusion (CTO) with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has rapidly increased during the past decades, it is hard to say the clinical benefit of CTO in patients without clinical symptoms. As a technology, the application of contemporary strategies may obtain a state-of-the-art revascularization by PCI to achieve long-time clinical benefit in patients with angina pectoris.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 65907

Title: Chronic total occlusion revascularization: A complex piece to "complete" the

puzzle

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02446694 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACC, FACP, FAHA, FESC, MD, PhD

Professional title: Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-17

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-17 18:49

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-24 20:26

Review time: 7 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reviewed the present status and effect of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for chronic total occlusion (CTO) . This review seems to be wellwritten. As a reviewer, I have some comments as bellow. #1 There were some lack of putting adequate references. The authors should revise them appropriately and carefully. For example P3L10. The authors showed the real percentage of CTO-PCI At this reference PCI among procedures. point, any should P8L12, P9L6-7, P10L24, et al. There might be others. #2 The authors had needed. better show the scores for the evaluation of CTO in another table to make the readers understand them more comprehensively.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 65907

Title: Chronic total occlusion revascularization: A complex piece to "complete" the

puzzle

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02446694 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACC, FACP, FAHA, FESC, MD, PhD

Professional title: Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-17

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-18 06:39

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-18 11:41

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have further comments regarding the revised manuscript.