

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 81836

Title: Prediction of permanent pacemaker implantation after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: the role of Machine learning.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05207387

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-27 02:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-27 02:25

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for recommending me as a reviewer. In this study, authors were used supervised ML with the random forest machine learning model to predict pre-procedural risk for PPM post-TAVR at 30 days and 1 year. If the authors complete minor revisions, the quality of the study will be further improved. 1. pg 6: "The model was optimized using 5 fold cross-validation repeated 10 times." - I don't understand why you repeated 5-fold cross-validation 10 times. If you describe this sentence more specifically, it will help readers understand. 2.Authors should describe the fine tuning used in the random forest model in more detail.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 81836

Title: Prediction of permanent pacemaker implantation after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: the role of Machine learning.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05327699

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MNAMS, MS

Professional title: Additional Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-01 07:03

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-01 09:07

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author, 1. Kindly mention full forms before writing the short - forms, like TTE, RF etc. 2. Add details of your ML - Algorithm used and how it's decision tree structure works ? 3. Add a Table comparing sensitivity and specificity of your AI Model vs other Models . 4. Improve grammar and language . 5. What this study adds to already available literature is not mentioned ? 6. Names of all variables is missing from your manuscript . 7. How the Images or variables were graded and or annotated and by whom ? Thanks