



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 2508

Title: Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: radiofrequency catheter ablation for redo procedures after circumferential pulmonary vein isolation with the cryoballoon technique - long-term follow-up results

Reviewer code: 00227351

Science editor: Huang, Xin-Zhen

Date sent for review: 2013-02-26 09:46

Date reviewed: 2013-03-06 00:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have some concerns on the Methods section. Please show a total number of patients who had ablation procedure, before state patients had to undergo a repeated ablation procedure. Authors stated that “significance was accepted if P value was ≤ 0.05 ”. It should be $P < 0.05$. In Table 1, both number of study patients were too small. So it precluded statistical analyses.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 2508

Title: Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: radiofrequency catheter ablation for redo procedures after circumferential pulmonary vein isolation with the cryoballoon technique - long-term follow-up results

Reviewer code: 00225361

Science editor: Huang, Xin-Zhen

Date sent for review: 2013-02-26 09:46

Date reviewed: 2013-03-09 00:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good Job!



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 2508

Title: Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: radiofrequency catheter ablation for redo procedures after circumferential pulmonary vein isolation with the cryoballoon technique - long-term follow-up results

Reviewer code: 00227547

Science editor: Huang, Xin-Zhen

Date sent for review: 2013-02-26 09:46

Date reviewed: 2013-03-09 17:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled "Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: radiofrequency catheter ablation for redo procedures after circumferential pulmonary vein isolation with the cryoballoon technique - long-term follow-up results" deals with problematics of recurrence of AF after ablation procedure. The manuscript is well written, but there are several concerns. 1. The study population is too small (30 pts) to draw determinative conclusions from it. 2. The total number of patients undergone ablation and recurrence rate of AF should be added. 3. The reason of continuation of B-blocker after ablation should be explained. 4. References should be written in the text according to journal guideline.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 2508

Title: Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: radiofrequency catheter ablation for redo procedures after circumferential pulmonary vein isolation with the cryoballoon technique - long-term follow-up results

Reviewer code: 00227676

Science editor: Huang, Xin-Zhen

Date sent for review: 2013-02-26 09:46

Date reviewed: 2013-03-12 05:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written, interesting review experience of the authors.