



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Ms: 2853

Title: Longitudinal Stent Compression of Everolimus-eluting Stent: A Report of 2 Cases

Reviewer code: 00742205

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-20 12:07

Date reviewed: 2013-03-21 00:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

I feel the cases represent important experience to all the cardiologists who perform similar procedure. But the manuscript can be shortened significantly. Similar type of catheter was described multiple times in on paragraph becomes very redundant.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Ms: 2853

Title: Longitudinal Stent Compression of Everolimus-eluting Stent: A Report of 2 Cases

Reviewer code: 02457603

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-20 12:07

Date reviewed: 2013-03-29 07:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

If I were you, I would try to do the LAD again before I handle the RCA or advise the patient to accept CABG in case 2. Which will be more safer for the patient.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Ms: 2853

Title: Longitudinal Stent Compression of Everolimus-eluting Stent: A Report of 2 Cases

Reviewer code: 00060499

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-20 12:07

Date reviewed: 2013-04-04 00:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

-Well written paper -Some confusion in the naming of figures -was stent boost used?? -Need to describe the mechanisms more appropriately in addition to guide catheter induced LSC -Need to describe the details of types of stents available, design and structure, radial and longitudinal strength of stents, relation between newer materials used for stents and their strength, reasoning for their use, advantages and disadvantages of these newer material w.r.t alloy used, strut thickness, radial and longitudinal strength compared to stainless steel stents, is LSC class effect? -no mention of 'concertina effect' - is there relation between thinness of struts, number of connectors between struts, and the orientation of those connectors, -Need to provide some more advise to interventionists regarding lesion characteristics and which stent to select as well as preparation of lesion to prevent this effect, post stenting advise whether compliant or NC balloon and why?.