

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 8595

Title: ST-segment elevation: Differentiating ST elevation myocardial infarction from non-ischemic ST elevation

Reviewer code: 00214240

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2014-01-06 09:27

Date reviewed: 2014-02-13 23:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

nice overview only a small remark : in case of discussion it's better to proceed to the cathlab immediately I suggest to add in the conclusion that in case of doubt, it's better to do an urgent angiography than waiting.