

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8803

Title: Angiotensin II-related Hypertension and Eye diseases

Reviewer code: 02497043

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-01-21 09:33

Date reviewed: 2014-01-23 05:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Your manuscript is quite comprehensive and potentially important. However, following questions need to be addressed by you: 1. Were the figures (1 and 2) drawn by you? Otherwise, reference should be added. 2. Related references should be added to the notes section of the figures (4, 5, 6 and 7) 3. Page layout and typefont should be revised.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8803

Title: Angiotensin II-related Hypertension and Eye diseases

Reviewer code: 00504952

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-01-21 09:33

Date reviewed: 2014-01-25 12:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well written and summarized in ophthalmic disorders, which are difficult to treat at this moment. The authors use many abbreviations in the manuscript. Abbreviations are defined in the manuscript but repeated in many part of the manuscript. As it is very hard to memorize all of abbreviations, I had to read back manuscript to find original their definition. I suggest making summary of abbreviations.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8803

Title: Angiotensin II-related Hypertension and Eye diseases

Reviewer code: 00608153

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-01-21 09:33

Date reviewed: 2014-01-29 09:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a well-written article. I just have a few comments. Major: However about the role of high blood glucose in the pathogenesis of DR? I wonder is there any synergistic effect between high blood glucose and Ang II? Although ACE2 and Angiotensin-(1-7) were mentioned in the article, it might be better to address ACE2 and Angiotensin-(1-7) at the section of introduction or the DR section since these are the important players on the other side of RAS. Minor: The format of reference should conform to the requirement of journal and should be consistent. Ref. 150 and ref. 61 is the same article.