

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14835

Title: Cardiac magnetic resonance in clinical cardiology

Reviewer code: 02638867

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-10-28 13:49

Date reviewed: 2014-10-28 15:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors suggested that advantages of CMR, compared to other imaging methods, include very high resolution imaging with a spatial resolution up to 0.5x0.5 mm in plane, a large array of different imaging sequences to provide in vivo tissue characterization, and radiation free imaging. And they concluded that the present manuscript highlights the relevance of CMR in the current clinical practice and new perspectives in cardiology. This manuscript are able to accept for publication.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14835

Title: Cardiac magnetic resonance in clinical cardiology

Reviewer code: 02633655

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-10-28 13:49

Date reviewed: 2014-11-06 00:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A well written paper. may be, you will write another manuscript about CMR on structural cardiac pathology.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14835

Title: Cardiac magnetic resonance in clinical cardiology

Reviewer code: 02523916

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-10-28 13:49

Date reviewed: 2014-10-30 14:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is well written review.