

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14636

Title: Exercise training in the management of patients with resistant hypertension

Reviewer code: 00225347

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-10-20 08:48

Date reviewed: 2014-11-17 00:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There are some issues that deserve attention in this editorial. 1. The Authors should be aware and state that "resistant hypertension" is not a defined nosological entity but rather a provisional definition. For example, they should mention the fall in BP in the placebo group in some recent trials including that with endothelin antagonist and the more recent Simplicity 3 Trial. 2. Moreover, many patients can have undetected primary aldosteronism (see Rossi et al JACC 2006; Douma et al Lancet 2008), a diagnosis that can be easily overlooked and might affect and/or dilute the results of studies looking at the effect of exercise. 3. Hence, these considerations mandate the need for properly designed studies on the effect of lifestyle measures in RH, an issue that is not addressed in this editorial. 4. Finally, the risks of exercise in patients with poorly controlled BP values must be clearly defined. Additional points 1. A table or a figure comparing the type of exercise and the outcome on BP should be added. 2. There are some awkward sentences: for example: page 3 "even some ..." please rephrase. 3. Abstract: the need for a diuretic in the cocktail should be mentioned for the definition of RH. 4. Page 6 "exercise training". Please define how long for? 5. What are callisthenic exercises? Please explain.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14636

Title: Exercise training in the management of patients with resistant hypertension

Reviewer code: 00225292

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-10-20 08:48

Date reviewed: 2014-11-05 17:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Resubmission of Paper with Additions is to be done by comparing the works of Researchers published during the Years 2008-2014 in the proposed area, to get more Comparative understanding for additional contributions being made by the authors/author.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14636

Title: Exercise training in the management of patients with resistant hypertension

Reviewer code: 00070481

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-10-20 08:48

Date reviewed: 2014-10-20 16:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

too little data was presented.