

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13943

Title: Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure: Technical Aspects and Prevention of Periprocedural Complications with the Watchman Device

Reviewer code: 00227548

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-10 15:19

Date reviewed: 2014-10-03 05:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written review.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13943

Title: Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure: Technical Aspects and Prevention of Periprocedural Complications with the Watchman Device

Reviewer code: 01483111

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-10 15:19

Date reviewed: 2014-09-21 15:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review on the Watchman left atrial appendage occlusion device is a very extensive and comprehensive review well describing the full scope of the device features from the preparation of device to the implantation and complication. One thing I would like to suggest to the authors. The manuscript would be better if indication of the device (or proper patient selection) and post-implantation follow-up (including medication) were added in the text.