

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16922

Title: Peritoneal Dialysis for Chronic Cardiorenal Syndrome: Lessons Learned from Ultrafiltration Trials

Reviewer's code: 00227594

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-02-04 16:11

Date reviewed: 2015-02-05 13:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The issue of cardiorenal syndrome and its management in HF continues to be a timely and important topic. The potential role of PD in the management of patients with chronic renal insufficiency is of interest. This is a nice brief review/commentary. Comments: page 4, last paragraph, last 2 sentences - some of these plausible mechanistic statements should be referenced (this is especially true for the arterial unloading effects since not all studies have demonstrated a positive change in LVEDD with ultrafiltration e.g. Rivera JACC 1998). page 6 - discussion of beneficial mechanisms of PD includes mechanisms not exclusive to PD vs HD...I think it would present a more balanced view if it was clear that these effects related to dialysis in general (as appropriate) Page 6 - for fair balance, suggest adding in a couple sentences to discuss some of the limitations of PD as a tx modality in CHF Minor comments: -many fractionated sentences could be re-worded to make reading easier (as opposed to current use of many commas that reflect speaking style as opposed to succinct scientific writing style) -related to comment above, there appears to be overuse of filler words such as "indeed". For example "Does ultrafiltration therapy indeed affect cardiac physiology and hemodynamic status? "



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

could simply be stated as "Does ultrafiltration affect cardiac physiology..."

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16922

Title: Peritoneal Dialysis for Chronic Cardiorenal Syndrome: Lessons Learned from Ultrafiltration Trials

Reviewer's code: 00504406

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-02-04 16:11

Date reviewed: 2015-02-28 22:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a commentary regarding the use of Peritoneal Dialysis (PD) in the treatment of uncompensated heart failure. I fully agree with the author's approach regarding the physiological basis of the heart-kidney damage and interactions in cardio-renal syndrome which is basically "cardio-centric". However, the author should present more data regarding PD as an option. First of all PD is not mentioned in any guideline regarding heart failure treatment and this should be mentioned. The probable cause is that there are no prospective randomized studies. However, there are published data from pooled analysis of small studies and the author should present a table with them. If we want to be unbiased we should also admit that PD catheter insertion in such patients is not a so simple procedure and adverse effects should also be acknowledged. The author should also give some details about the PD modalities that may be applied : Automated PD, CAPD or a single overnight manual PD exchange with icodextrin.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16922

Title: Peritoneal Dialysis for Chronic Cardiorenal Syndrome: Lessons Learned from Ultrafiltration Trials

Reviewer's code: 00503305

Reviewer's country: Thailand

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-02-04 16:11

Date reviewed: 2015-03-01 20:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, Please add the abstract and this article will be much more informative if author adds summarized table composed the previous results related to this topic.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16922

Title: Peritoneal Dialysis for Chronic Cardiorenal Syndrome: Lessons Learned from Ultrafiltration Trials

Reviewer's code: 00227470

Reviewer's country: Netherlands

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-02-04 16:11

Date reviewed: 2015-03-02 23:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author did a good job in writing a clear concise review article on the impact of PD in the cardiorenal syndrome. The manuscript would be more attractive to the readership if a figure (summarizing the (potential) benefits of PD?) would be added.