

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18019

Title: Lean heart: Role of leptin in cardiac hypertrophy and metabolism

Reviewer's code: 01482015

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-04-03 17:38

Date reviewed: 2015-05-16 11:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor: The paper was clearly written. I would like to accept the paper after the authors corrected few mistakes in the paper. In the final paragraph on page 9: In summary,...effects of leptin "on".... On line 5, page 18: ... "Glucose" is the preferred substrate... On line 8, page 18: "glucose" towards fatty acids.....

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18019

Title: Lean heart: Role of leptin in cardiac hypertrophy and metabolism

Reviewer's code: 00225356

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-04-03 17:38

Date reviewed: 2015-05-09 01:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript by dr. Hall et al. is a review paper on the role of leptin in cardiac hypertrophy, function, metabolism, and lipotoxicity. The paper is very comprehensive and interesting and the future clinical perspectives clear. Some revision is required in the following points. 1. Interpretation of figure 1 is not intuitive. It should be better explained in the figure legend. "PCR" is not explained in the text nor in the legend. 2. A figure or table summarizing the conflicting data on leptin and cardiac hypertrophy could be useful to better understand this issue. 3. In figure 3, the meaning of the horizontal red dotted line is not clear.