

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20273

Title: Ventricular repolarization measures for arrhythmic risk stratification

Reviewer's code: 02575809

Reviewer's country: Cuba

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-06-04 19:19

Date reviewed: 2015-07-17 16:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review about ID invited Manuscript NO: 00258135 Ventricular repolarization measures for arrhythmic risk stratification The authors made an updated review on the role of alterations in ventricular repolarization in arrhythmogenic events risk stratification. In my opinion is an interesting job that offers tools to practice medical daily. Only must adjust bibliographic references to the requirements of our wages. The article deserves to be published

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20273

Title: Ventricular repolarization measures for arrhythmic risk stratification

Reviewer's code: 00060494

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-06-04 19:19

Date reviewed: 2015-07-02 16:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. There are too many abbreviations in this paper. Please try to reduce them. (Ex: first generation antipsychotic, intravenous, percutaneous coronary intervention, DCM...etc). Besides, the proper noun will give the full term when it appears first time in the paper, and then it should present as its abbreviation. There are many faults and please correct them (ex. AP, LQTS, QTc....etc). Furthermore, there are confused abbreviations found in this paper (ex. variability ratio (VR) and ventricular repolarization (VR)...etc) 2. The Fridericia's formula : $QTc: QT_{RR}^{-1/3}$ [30], and Bazett's formula: $QTc: QT_{RR}^{-1/2}$ should be annotation. For example: RR means the time interval; and the QT means the distance 3. Less attention in Treatment of different kinds QT abnormalities