

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26828

Title: Renal sympathetic denervation in therapy resistant hypertension - pathophysiological aspects and predictors for treatment success

Reviewer's code: 00503321

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-28 22:48

Date reviewed: 2016-04-29 00:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think this type of publication is necessary to counterbalance the false impression that renal denervation is not clinically indicated or that you can obtain similar results by adequate medications. I would welcome further emphasis in specific recommendations for future patient selection and exclusion as hinted in your text. A typo: ".. Assessment of hemodynamic changes can be achieved via echocardiography, which was part of mutually(sic) all protocols of bigger studies examining treatment effects of RDN.... " probably "virtually" rather than "mutually"

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26828

Title: Renal sympathetic denervation in therapy resistant hypertension - pathophysiological aspects and predictors for treatment success

Reviewer's code: 02446043

Reviewer's country: Malaysia

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-28 22:48

Date reviewed: 2016-05-15 22:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An interesting informative well referenced paper that should be published. To make paper more readable, authors should summarise their comments in section 2 (pathophysiological effects of renal sympathetic denervation) and section 3 (technical predictors of success) into 2 tables.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26828

Title: Renal sympathetic denervation in therapy resistant hypertension - pathophysiological aspects and predictors for treatment success

Reviewer's code: 00225280

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-28 22:48

Date reviewed: 2016-05-17 07:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Fengler K et al provide an excellent review on benefits of renal denervation on BP, vasculature, end-organ damage and various other cardiometabolic parameters. The topic is of increasing interest and this paper is up-to-date very well-written and well-discussed. I suggest only few minor changes: Minor comments: 1] Page 4, last sentence: In the following 'review'. 2] ABPM, eGFR etc. Please define all abbreviations wherever first appear in the manuscript and use consistently thereafter. 3] Page 11: c-reactive protein = C-reactive protein. 4] I would suggest 2 Tables. One table summarizing the benefits of RDN and another table summarizing the predictors of successful RDN.