

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 32035

Title: Feature tracking cardiac magnetic resonance imaging: A review of a novel non-invasive cardiac imaging technique

Reviewer's code: 00236103

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-12-30 17:55

Date reviewed: 2017-01-24 22:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review describes feature tracking cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (FT-CMR) and its application in cardiac diagnostics. Although the topic is of great interest for all cardiologists, the present manuscript fails to attract the interested, but not specialized reader not familiar with these MR techniques. It therefore is strongly recommended to adjust the manuscript to the information level of an interested all day cardiologist, who needs to keep informed about indications, mechanisms, advantages and disadvantages of new and developing techniques. By this way, the review should be transformed to a combination of a short lecture including informative pictures and presentations on the one hand and a short review presenting the latest advances with respect to this technique on the other.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 32035

Title: Feature tracking cardiac magnetic resonance imaging: A review of a novel non-invasive cardiac imaging technique

Reviewer's code: 01954061

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-12-30 17:55

Date reviewed: 2017-01-23 07:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent topic and merits presentation. The Introduction is too long, especially the first paragraph; and could be shortened by focus on cardiovascular diseases, not on "non-communicable diseases." The rest of the introduction could also be shortened with more focus on the last paragraph with respect to "strain." Review of studies is very good, as is the summary Table. Other parts of the presentation could also be shortened. Overall the topic and main emphases are excellent.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 32035

Title: Feature tracking cardiac magnetic resonance imaging: A review of a novel non-invasive cardiac imaging technique

Reviewer's code: 00227375

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-12-30 17:55

Date reviewed: 2017-01-30 19:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent review about the feature tracking cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. This manuscript is nicely structured and well written. I have one minor comment about this manuscript. Please consider the following comment. (Comment) 1. Page 5, line 12 The authors probably make a mistake. Correct "Shuster et al" to "Schuster et al".