

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31614

Title: Infective endocarditis and thoracic aortic disease: a review on forgotten psy aspects

Reviewer's code: 02874819

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-01-12 15:59

Date reviewed: 2017-02-17 01:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review is very interesting topics, even if the evaluated clinical pictures are very different.

The psychological evaluation has many variables, the same endocarditis are of various types with various causes, may also involve drug users it is difficult to compare different manuscripts. In fact all the manuscripts that the authors evaluated concerned a single disease expression, eg. Acute endocarditis, valve replacement, congenital disease.

The results session is too long, should be shortened and revised, moreover should be reviewed the English language.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31614

Title: Infective endocarditis and thoracic aortic disease: a review on forgotten psy aspects

Reviewer's code: 00506608

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-12-01 15:59

Date reviewed: 2016-12-15 01:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, I think this is a very interesting and important review of a difficult topic. I think the focus on IE is very timely and important - and the author presents the data in a very meaningful and useful manner. I am a little concerned about how the TAD part of the manuscript is organized at it appears to jump around from cardiac surgery procedures (i.e. aortic valve) to aortic (ascending and/or descending vs arch) - which is probably reflective of the limited available data in the area. Maybe the author can help clarify this - either by re-working it or maybe even changing the focus to be more specific. Also, the title - while appropriate needs to be changed as I do not think that "psy" is an appropriate medical term in general or in a manuscript title - maybe the entire work can be spelled out?