

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 34563

Title: Safety, efficiency and cost effectiveness of Bivalirudin - a systematic review

Reviewer's code: 00214291

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-05-10

Date reviewed: 2017-05-11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

interesting overview

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 34563

Title: Safety, efficiency and cost effectiveness of Bivalirudin - a systematic review

Reviewer's code: 00227375

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-05-10

Date reviewed: 2017-05-18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent review about the safety, effectiveness, and cost benefits of bivalirudin. This manuscript is nicely structured and well written. However, I have several comments about this manuscript. Please consider the following comments. (Comments) 1. Page 6, lines 5-7 Bivalirudin group patients also had lower rates of non-CABG-related major bleeding (NCRMB -4.9% vs 8.3% $p < 0.005$) and all-cause mortality (2.1% vs 3.1% $p = 0.047$). Correct "NCRMB -4.9%" to "NCRMB 4.9%". 2. Page 9, TIMING STUDY, 1st paragraph, line4 Correct "bleeding rates (3.5% vs 6.6% $p = 0.53$)" to "bleeding rates (3.5% vs 6.6%, risk ratio: 0.53, 95% confidence interval: 0.41 to 0.69" or "bleeding rates (3.5% vs 6.6% $p < 0.0001$).



7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 34563

Title: Safety, efficiency and cost effectiveness of Bivalirudin - a systematic review

Reviewer's code: 02636166

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-05-10

Date reviewed: 2017-05-19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor: Dr. Mehrzad and colleagues have made a systemic review regarding the use of Safety, efficiency and cost effectiveness of Bivalirudin. Their review is extensive but some points should be addressed. Major: As a review manuscript, some tables may be appropriately used for the readers to understand. Minor: Several grammar errors have to be revised.