

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 64792

Title: The role of mammogram and ultrasound imaging in predicting breast cancer

subtypes in screening and symptomatic patients

Reviewer's code: 05244179 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-08 07:40

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-10 06:00

Review time: 1 Day and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Kindly check INTRODUCTION, PARA 3 with reference marked as 2. The text mentioned in para 3 doesn't correlate with the content of reference 2 as mentioned. INTRODUCTION and REFERNCE section need correction.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 64792

Title: The role of mammogram and ultrasound imaging in predicting breast cancer

subtypes in screening and symptomatic patients

Reviewer's code: 05244179 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-23

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-30 06:09

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-30 07:51

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No
	Collines of Interest [] 100 [1]110



Revision has addressed the concerns raised in initial review. Acceptable