

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 65094

Title: Single-Fraction Stereotactic Ablative Body Radiation Therapy for primary and metastatic lung tumor: A New paradigm?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05749114

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-27

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-11 10:31

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-01 12:49

Review time: 21 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="radio"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="radio"/> Minor revision <input type="radio"/> Major revision <input type="radio"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

High quality scientific contribution. Suitable to be published in World Journal of Clinical Oncology

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 65094

Title: Single-Fraction Stereotactic Ablative Body Radiation Therapy for primary and metastatic lung tumor: A New paradigm?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02916347

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-27

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-21 05:50

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-04 12:51

Review time: 13 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review regarding the single fraction radiotherapy in the treatment of primary and metastatic lung cancer is very interesting and of current importance, especially in times of the COVID pandemic. It is a well written work but some improvements should be made in the presentation of the studies in order not to be redundant. Some studies have been described in too much detail and should be better summarized in reporting the most important results in a critical way. The limitations of the studies should also be discussed in a conclusive form. In addition, it would be useful to have some technical data of prescription and execution of radiotherapy (e.g. type of gating, contours, margins and type of dose prescription) since some studies are also old.