

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

**Manuscript NO:** 66871

**Title:** Optimal timing of thoracic irradiation for limited stage small cell lung cancer:  
Current evidence and future prospects

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02493929

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-04-08

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-04-08 08:23

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-10 13:46

**Review time:** 2 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**Peer-reviewer  
statements**Peer-Review: [ ☒ ] Anonymous [ ☐ ] OnymousConflicts-of-Interest: [ ☐ ] Yes [ ☒ ] No**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this review, the authors summarized the research on the time sequence of the combination of TRT and chemotherapy in LS-SCLC through clinical research and meta-analysis, and provided more complete information for the overall management of LS-SCLC. However, there are some minor problems in this article. First, the author's language is not concise enough. On the premise that the relevant research is presented in the form of a table, the author does not need to repeat the content of the table in large paragraphs. Secondly, it is recommended that the author draw a table similar to the clinical study in the meta-analysis section to illustrate the problem more intuitively. Finally, it is recommended that the author increase the subtitle of the article to increase the readability of the review.