

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 89975

Title: Nomogram based on multimodal magnetic resonance combined with B7-H3mRNA for preoperative lymph node prediction in esophagus cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03262127

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-19

Reviewer chosen by: Huo Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-24 22:45

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-05 07:07

Review time: 11 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is well organized, performed and described. My comments are mostly technical in nature. preoperative gastroscopic biopsies (Part 2.1) - esophagoscopic is right. 2.4.1 Acquisition of Gastric Pathological Tissue under Gastrosocopy (Subtitle) - Esophageal, Esophagoscopy is right. ddH₂O (2.4.3.2) - to be explained in full. There is a lot of space errors in part 3.4. To be corrected. 3.4 feature extraction and model construction (Subtitle) - Feature is right. Normogram (Fig. 4 and 5 Legends, Table 4) - nomogram is right. CRC (Discussion) - it is better to use a full version of term (colorectal cancer). References should be constructed in FULL ACCORDANCE to the Guidelines for Authors! Abbreviated Journal Titles should be as listed in PubMed. The largest number of technical errors in the article relate to abbreviations. Authors should carefully check all abbreviations and correct errors. 1) the abbreviation must be indicated when it first appears in the text; 2) repeated explanations should be deleted; 3) if the abbreviation was indicated in the text, in the remaining text it is advisable to use only the abbreviated version of the term. All detected errors are highlighted in red in the attached file.