

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 91023

Title: Understanding the role of transmembrane 9 superfamily member 1 in bladder cancer pathogenesis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 01206150

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-25 02:45

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-25 03:18

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This editorial is well written. And the following sentences need to be polished. 1. For instance, point mutation of FGFR3 gene while seen in 60-70 % of NMIBC is only found in 5-10% of MIBC Recommended revision: For instance, while point mutation of the FGFR3 gene is observed in 60-70% of NMIBC cases, it is only detected in 5-10% of MIBC cases. 2. Upregulation of EGFR is seen in 20% of NMIBC but in up to 50% of MIBC Recommended revision: Recommended revision:The upregulation of EGFR is observed in 20% of NMIBC cases, but it can be seen in up to 50% of MIBC cases. 3.for reference 2. F B, J F, I S, RL S, LA T, A J. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018. 68(6):394-424. The authors should be: Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. 4. “pathogenesis” should be “pathogenesis”.