

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9105

**Title:** Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Breast Cancer: A Literature Review and Future Perspectives

**Reviewer code:** 00505537

**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-21 22:01

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-27 01:20

| CLASSIFICATION                                     | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing                 | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)            |   | BPG Search:                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No records |  |

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

? I suggest the authors to add a list of abbreviations because the number of them in the text is very high, and some of them, such as COMICE and MONET are not explained in the text. What do they stand for? ? After Methods it must be explained how many articles were found and how many of them were analyzed, which criteria were used in the selection of them if there were any, and their characteristics (type of study, year, etc), level of evidence and more details which could help the reader to know the source of the information. I suggest make a table which resumes this information. ? And explanation about the way of the exposition of results will run should be added before start it. ? I miss the authors comments about the information that is exposed and the articles mentioned, there were not evaluation of them, only they are described. ? In the chapter of impact on treatment re-excision rate as an end point of the studies must be clarified, this rate depends on many other variables. More additional information must be given. ? A comment about cost-benefit of the new technology should be included. ? In the conclusion should be added the level of evidence or recommendation of the statements. ? The article would gain importance if some figures were showed.



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9105

**Title:** Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Breast Cancer: A Literature Review and Future Perspectives

**Reviewer code:** 00733185

**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-21 22:01

**Date reviewed:** 2014-02-12 05:09

| CLASSIFICATION  | LANGUAGE EVALUATION  | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing     | Google Search:                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)                 |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I reviewed the article "Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Breast Cancer: A Literature Review and Future Perspectives" The presented manuscript is a well written study and can contribute to comprise datas. I think this report is acceptable.