

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8457**Title:** Paraneoplastic syndromes associated with lung cancer**Reviewer code:** 00608190**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-28 19:46**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-30 15:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript outlining paraneoplastic syndrome due to lung cancer may be helpful for clinicians who have a chance of working with lung cancer. However, some errors in English writing should be corrected.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8457

Title: Paraneoplastic syndromes associated with lung cancer

Reviewer code: 00608206

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-12-28 19:46

Date reviewed: 2014-01-09 20:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS: GENERAL COMMENTS Submission of a review article on a topic of interest. The structure of the article and its general outline is correct. The literature review is appropriate (326 references) and with an acceptable level of upgrade (115 referrals, 35.2% in the last 5 years). HOWEVER: 1. Although the article is not a systematic review, some comments about the search strategy of the literature performed could have been made. 2. The paper requires some modifications (see Comments). There are no ethical problems. SPECIFIC COMMENTS: TITLE: Specific, it adequately contains the primary endpoint. It is concise (6 words). ABSTRACT: Define and explain the concepts well properly structure review article. Key words: 10 words Core tip: 87 words. INTRODUCTION: Well structured. Defines the subject of review and classifies the different sections that will be discussed later in the article. Also relates the various factors that may explain the different paraneoplastic syndromes that can occur in lung cancer. The DIFFERENT SECTIONS are clear, well structured, reading is enjoyable and the references are adequate. The TABLES are schematic and aid reading revision. The review is presented in a clear and correct form. You could put a small paragraph as a final CONCLUSION that summarizes the review article. REFERENCES: The literature review is appropriate (326 references) and with an acceptable level of up to date information (115 referrals, 35.2% in the last 5 years). MINOR COMMENTS See comments on the text of the manuscript. Orthographic revision: See comments added to manuscript