

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9093**Title:** Mechanisms and Therapeutic Advances in the Management of Endocrine-Resistant Breast Cancer**Reviewer code:** 00742507**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-21 21:46**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-21 23:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an excellent, fully up-to-date review of one of the most important subjects in breast cancer treatment. All aspects are covered, including positive and negative results. Good mixture of relevant literature.

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9093**Title:** Mechanisms and Therapeutic Advances in the Management of Endocrine-Resistant Breast Cancer**Reviewer code:** 00532382**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-21 21:46**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-23 05:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting, well thought out and organized. To publish.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9093

Title: Mechanisms and Therapeutic Advances in the Management of Endocrine-Resistant Breast Cancer

Reviewer code: 02467561

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-01-21 21:46

Date reviewed: 2014-01-30 02:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

GENERAL COMMENTS: Dear Authors, the manuscript "Mechanisms and Therapeutic Advances in the Management of Endocrine-Resistant Breast Cancer " is very interesting and exhaustive in its contents, focusing on novel strategies to overcome therapeutic resistance. Nevertheless, on my opinion, it is characterized by several critical points, which should be addressed before taking it into consideration for publication.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS: ? **TITLE** The title reflects the major topics and contents of the study. ? **ABSTRACT** The abstract gives a clear delineation of the research background and objectives, but methods and conclusions should be more detailed. **MANUSCRIPT** The manuscript is not well organized and structured. In particular: o At the end of the Introduction section, the appropriate references of the paragraph "A plethora of mechanisms have been proposed to explain resistance to endocrine therapy, including deregulation of various components of the ER pathway itself, activation of escape pathways that provide tumors with alternative cell proliferative and survival stimuli, alterations in cell cycle and apoptotic machinery, modulation in epigenetics and microRNA profile" should be inserted. o The Methods section is missing. It should describe in detail how the study was developed and carried out: the electronic databases used for the literature search (ie Medline, Cochrane Library, Scopus...); the time interval considered; the search string used; the criteria to identify and select the studies to be included in the review; how the assessment of the eligibility of the studies and the data extraction were performed. o The results are not organized in a specific Results section and do not report the findings of the literature search and the selection process (i.e. the number of potentially relevant articles yielded by the search strategy; the number of



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

the paper excluded on the basis of the inclusion criteria; the final number of the papers included in the review). Furthermore, the results of the reviewed clinical trials should be reported in a more organized and concise way. o The Discussion section is missing. It should describe and discuss the added value of the study and the full public health implications of the study findings.