

**ESPS Peer-review Report****Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8135**Title:** Main controversies in breast cancer**Reviewer code:** 00069295**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-18 12:03**Date reviewed:** 2014-02-24 17:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this review the authors summarize several controversies regarding the management of breast cancer. The authors have to specify in the introduction why they decided to specifically discuss these particular controversies in breast cancer. It would be beneficial for the review to discuss the very interesting and controversial issue concerning the margins in breast conserving surgery especially in view of the very recent SSO-ASTRO new Consensus Guideline (Annals of Surgical Oncology February 2014).