



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8393

**Title:** The significance of immunohistochemistry in breast cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00514490

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-28 15:04

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-28 21:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice review; it is easy to understand the aim of the ms

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8393

**Title:** The significance of immunohistochemistry in breast cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00722114

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-28 15:04

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-29 09:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review on immunohistochemistry of breast cancer involves diagnostic as well as prognostic significance of markers. Abstract is too vague on the focus of the review. The manuscript needs to significantly review the english to show consistency throughout. The manuscript needs to include headings and subheadings, tables, and figures to illustrate the concepts. For example a table including all smooth muscle and myoepithelial markers can be useful. I would suggest to authors to include clinical evidence, such as overall survival, progression-free survival when referring to therapy and therapeutic targets.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8393

**Title:** The significance of immunohistochemistry in breast cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00227553

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-28 15:04

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-10 23:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a good manuscript with a comprehensive review of various immunostains used in diagnosis and prognostication of breast cancer. However, I feel that it is unnecessarily lengthy and needs to be rewritten to both keep the readers interest and have a logical flow. Please remember this is a review article: I suggest you rewrite it in two major sections as follows. Abstract - no change Introduction - no change section 1: Diagnostic markers - describe each IHC listing the cell or tissue it stains, the type of staining, percentage positivity expected and any major drawbacks. Use ONLY ONE PARAGRAPH for each IHC. section 2: Applications - Under this section describe how these IHCs can be used to diagnose and prognosticate patients with breast cancer. Conclusion - no major change.