

# ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10706

**Title:** Surgical strategies in the therapy of non-small cell lung cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00504024

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-15 09:22

**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-16 21:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

# COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The purpose and results are quite understandable. Thus, It is worthy of publication.

**ESPS Peer-review Report****Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10706**Title:** Surgical strategies in the therapy of non-small cell lung cancer**Reviewer code:** 00533358**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-15 09:22**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-18 19:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is an excellent review summarizing in an elegant way the recent surgical strategies implemented in the therapy of NSCLC.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**
**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10706

**Title:** Surgical strategies in the therapy of non-small cell lung cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00631943

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-15 09:22

**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-22 18:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The manuscript gives a thorough and careful literature review on surgical strategies of non-small cell lung cancer. The authors introduced the surgical strategies according to disease stages. After that, they also introduced some specific strategies on special occasions, such as in patients with solitary brain or adrenal metastasis; specific strategies such as bronchoplastic lung resection, lymphadenectomy and extended resections, angioplasty and marginal resections using extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). In general the writing and language is sound. The contents are detailed with many papers cited from last five years. The tables and figure provided are very explicit. The organization of the last half of the review (section 5~8) seems to be loose and can be better organized. Section 5 can actually be included into section 2. Section 6~8 can be under one section, i.e. 'introduction of surgical strategies advancements'. There are numbering errors in several places. There is missing of section 2.3 and section 4. Or the sections should be re-numbered.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**
**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10706

**Title:** Surgical strategies in the therapy of non-small cell lung cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00607648

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-15 09:22

**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-25 12:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a good review but I take issue with the management of N2 disease. 1. If N2 is unexpected, what is the place of radiation therapy post-op? 2. If there is pre-op N2 disease reference 42 does NOT suggest surgery. Reference 49, which I could not access, is not a study but a review and, therefore, an opinion. 3. It is hard to imagine a role for surgery at any point with N3 disease. {data "are", not "is"} 4. solitary mets: It is probably better to suggest that an isolated adrenal met be resected only if ips-lateral, although no good data exist. 5. In this group, why should the solitary met be removed prior to the primary? 6. Please define "extended resection" 7. Where discussing T4 lesions it should read as POST- not preoperataive. 8. Discussion: give exact reference for NCCN node resection guidelines; same with ESTS guidelines