

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10587**Title:** Risk Factors and Natural History of Breast Cancer in Younger Chinese Women**Reviewer code:** 02104609**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-09 20:30**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-11 01:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is clear and well written. Based on the current database, is there any significant correlation/interactions between different parameters if authors perform further statistic analyses(different regression analysis) instead of arbitrary dividing patients into two groups?

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10587**Title:** Risk Factors and Natural History of Breast Cancer in Younger Chinese Women**Reviewer code:** 00742507**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-09 20:30**Date reviewed:** 2014-05-19 22:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An excellent review of the current status of breast cancer in Hong Kong. The data are used correctly, the methodology is sound and conclusions adequate. The paper represents significant contribution to this field.