

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12481

Title: Endometriosis and ovarian cancer

Reviewer code: 01220008

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2014-07-11 09:23

Date reviewed: 2014-08-08 15:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper will be worth publication. There is some mistyping which should be corrected carefully. page 5, line6: expensive should be extensive. page 7, line6: 9 mm should be 9 cm. page 9, line3: ARIDD1a should be ARID1A. page 10, line1: PTSEN should be PTEN. page 15, line1: koiyama should be Komiyama. Tominagha should be Tominaga. page 20, line8: Shigetomy should be Shigetomi. In addition, following sentences should be modified as understandable. Page 8, last line "both endometrial lesions on the ovarian tissue." This sentence may be "both endometrial and ovarian tissue." Page 9, following sentence can be omitted, "Romero and Bast Mutation of p53."

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12481

Title: Endometriosis and ovarian cancer

Reviewer code: 00733642

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2014-07-11 09:23

Date reviewed: 2014-07-27 21:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I- Regarding historical background ; I think you should mention the following authors who described the risk of ovarian cancer in endometriotic tissues: In 1925, Sampson [1] first described malignant changes in endometriosis and proposed the following criteria for diagnosing the carcinomatous development in endometriosis: (i) coexistence of carcinoma and endometriosis within the same ovary, (ii) a similar histological pattern and (iii) exclusion of a second malignant tumor elsewhere. Later, in 1953, Scott [2] postulated that in addition to the criteria by Sampson, morphological changes demonstrated by benign endometriosis that are contiguous with malignant tissue is a prerequisite for adjudication of a malignancy originating from endometriosis 1. Sampson JA. Endometrial carcinoma of the ovary arising in endometrial tissue in that organ. Arch Surg.1925;10:1-72. 2. Scott RB. Malignant changes in endometriosis. Obstet Gynecol. 1953;2:283-289. II-A summary of more recent cohort studies showed a standardized incidence ratio around 2[13].Please add %. III-. "In addition, protection against both diseases can be reached by tubal ligation, hysterectomy and progesterone treatment[33]." I think these factors cause decrease in incidence of ovarian cancer rather than "protection" III- "The findings showing that the basal is has app. five times". Please, use do not use abbreviations of text. IV- "At the same time, stem cell transformation can be underlying cause of ovarian cancer (Bapat et al. [40], for review see Duke and Taylor[41])". You mentioned the name of the author then the reference number, please use one of them only. V-In Conclusion: You mentioned that : "Despite the fact that the links between endometriosis and ovarian cancer appear to



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

be clear, we have to keep in mind that having endometriosis might be less risky than undergoing in vitro fertilization, which can increase the risk of ovarian cancer three times[64]". Which is not coordinate with what you mentioned before : "Some studies found that the risk is increased up to 8-fold[17]"

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12481

Title: Endometriosis and ovarian cancer

Reviewer code: 02444790

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2014-07-11 09:23

Date reviewed: 2014-07-21 11:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

can be accepted.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12481

Title: Endometriosis and ovarian cancer

Reviewer code: 00722306

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2014-07-11 09:23

Date reviewed: 2014-08-02 16:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

You have written a succinct and easy to understand review of the current research on endometriosis and ovarian cancer. Well done!