ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 8651
Title: Palliative radiotherapy for bone metastases from lung cancer: Evidence-based medicine?
Reviewer code: 00502768
Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen
Date sent for review: 2013-12-31 22:10
Date reviewed: 2014-02-24 01:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[Y] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Two major comments: 1.When introduce the patient-related factor of the Reasons for reticence in use of single fractions, the article do not considering whether the patient has the key point other concurrent diseases or not。 2.The article got the conclusions that there is large discrepancy between published literature and patterns of practice about regarding management of complicated and uncomplicated BM secondary to lung cancer，analyzed the reasons from different angles，but lack of suppose for change this contradiction by some methods , as well as the prospects of next clinical practice。

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 8651
Title: Palliative radiotherapy for bone metastases from lung cancer: Evidence-based medicine?
Reviewer code: 02416403
Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen
Date sent for review: 2013-12-31 22:10
Date reviewed: 2014-03-26 10:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[Y] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review article titled "Palliative radiotherapy for bone metastasis from lung cancer: Evidence-based medicine?" is very well written and organized. I have no criticism on this manuscript.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 8651
Title: Palliative radiotherapy for bone metastases from lung cancer: Evidence-based medicine?
Reviewer code: 00061154
Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen
Date sent for review: 2013-12-31 22:10
Date reviewed: 2014-03-29 11:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[Y]Rejection
[Y] Grade E (Poor)	[Y] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Your results are hard to follow and make little sense. I had many questions but decided to go no further than part way into Results. Perhaps adding at least one more author could help you to put some sense into it. Sorry

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 8651
Title: Palliative radiotherapy for bone metastases from lung cancer: Evidence-based medicine?
Reviewer code: 02682003
Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen
Date sent for review: 2013-12-31 22:10
Date reviewed: 2014-04-08 17:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a very well written manuscript.   Abstract: the schedule to treat a right shoulder is quite specific since it is data from only one japanese study. I would suggest to remove it from the abstract. Introduction, methods, results and discussion are well organized.   Related to the question point in the title "evidence based medicine?", I would suggest (first three sub-headings of the results section) to classify papers on the basis of the level of evidence and discuss the results based on it (this should help readers on giving the right position of the different studies in the literature).