

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20134

**Title:** Fluoxetine induces cytotoxic endoplasmic reticulum stress and autophagy in triple negative breast cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 00646291

**Reviewer's country:** United Kingdom

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-31 10:39

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-30 21:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

**Major comments** The authors need to discuss why they did not follow the potential induction of CHOP and GADD34 upon fluoxetine treatment since as it is mentioned in the introduction "If proper protein folding capacity is not restored, then all three arms of UPR induce CHOP (CCAAT/enhancer binding protein-homologous protein) and GADD34 (growth arrest and DNA damage 34) to stimulate apoptosis". The supplier and code numbers of the antibodies used in the reverse phase protein microarray and western blot analysis should be included in the materials and methods section. Does the 15µg and 30µg in Figure 4A indicate amount of protein of SUM149PT and Late and Early MCF10A cellular extract loaded? Please indicate in the figure legend and specify the amount loaded in Figures 4B, C and D. Please discuss similarities differences in the induction of autophagic and UPR indicator proteins in triple negative breast cancer cells treated with classical ER stress inducers versus fluoxetine. Please indicate the meaning of the dots in the Table S1. **Minor comments** Page 12: Although MCF10A originated from the same mastectomy fibrocystic diseased tissue, several variations of this cell line exist. Page 13: Meanwhile, the Early MFCF10A did not undergo



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

autophagy at either time point. Page 19: These proteins (were) are components of the highly integrated autophagy, UPR, and apoptosis in response to ER and metabolic stress.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20134

**Title:** Fluoxetine induces cytotoxic endoplasmic reticulum stress and autophagy in triple negative breast cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 02682232

**Reviewer's country:** Iran

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-31 10:39

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-08 17:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Your paper is very good because has many different cell lines And rich methods but, - you should make much measurement atleast 10-15. - you made 24 & 48 h, but you need 4,8,12,24&48 h Best regards