

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20179

Title: Distance caregiving a family member with cancer: A review of the literature on distance caregiving and recommendations for future research

Reviewer's code: 03062291

Reviewer's country: Russia

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-05-31 17:54

Date reviewed: 2015-12-03 21:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, it is an excellent paper that raises some issues regarding distance care giving (DCG). The topic discussed in the paper is not only important but also interesting for a broader audience of clinicians, oncologists and people working in medicare business. There are however few questions that need to be addressed before publishing this manuscript. 1. Sample size n=7 is too small to make any conclusion or statistics (Ref 32 and corresponding part of the paper). Therefore I suggest to remove the table and town down the whole story about this pilot study. Alternatively, more similar studies should be provided. 2. Since Internet is becoming an important tool for distance learning, diagnoses etc., I would encourage authors to discuss this option as the best available alternative. 3. Please check for misspells all over the text. E.g. Intro: distance caregiving should be DCG, not DGC. 4. Intro: specify the region of the survey – Did those 7 mln DCG represent the US or a world-wide?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20179

Title: Distance caregiving a family member with cancer: A review of the literature on distance caregiving and recommendations for future research

Reviewer's code: 02683167

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-05-31 17:54

Date reviewed: 2015-12-09 18:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting review about the role of distance caregivers of cancer patients. It is very well written and is a good and complete contribution to the field. In addition, a very handy section about future perspective with opinion and suggestions for future studies is included. Only some minor points should be revised: -Page 3, last paragraph, definition of DGC, please check spelling of "wo" -Page 4, last sentence, capital letter to start a sentence. -Page 11, last paragraph, please indicate the meaning of "DCGS 24/7" -The style of the list of references should be checked.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20179

Title: Distance caregiving a family member with cancer: A review of the literature on distance caregiving and recommendations for future research

Reviewer's code: 02534290

Reviewer's country: Romania

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-05-31 17:54

Date reviewed: 2015-12-17 14:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A very good review on a limited literature, good work.