

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 30617

**Title:** Difficult endoscopic diagnosis of a pancreatic plasmacytoma: Case report and review of literature

**Reviewer's code:** 02445135

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-10-11 11:48

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-20 14:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case is interesting, Treatment received at relapse should be stated. Myeloma work-up at diagnosis and present relapse should be given (CBC, renal function, LDH, quantitative immunoglobulin measurements and serum free light chain quantification, bone marrow plasma cell infiltration at diagnosis and 1st relapse, bony status, karyotype and FISH studies if available...) The description of extramedullary myeloma should be corrected in the beginning of the discussion. Bone is not, by definition, an extramedullary site, and the most common sites are not the one described

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 30617

**Title:** Difficult endoscopic diagnosis of a pancreatic plasmacytoma: Case report and review of literature

**Reviewer's code:** 02528717

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-10-11 11:48

**Date reviewed:** 2016-11-03 13:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case about pancreas involvement in a case with relapsed myeloma. However some points need to correct: 1-Pancreatic myeloma is not appropriate, title must be changed as pancreatic plasmacytoma, 2-ALAT and ASAT are not universal abbreviations, they must be AST and ALT 3-Basing on hematotoxicity must be corrected as based on..... 4-After platelets administration may be changed as after platelet support

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 30617

**Title:** Difficult endoscopic diagnosis of a pancreatic plasmacytoma: Case report and review of literature

**Reviewer's code:** 00505809

**Reviewer's country:** Morocco

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-10-11 11:48

**Date reviewed:** 2016-11-04 23:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

interesting report accept for publication no modifications delete figure 2

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 30617

**Title:** Difficult endoscopic diagnosis of a pancreatic plasmacytoma: Case report and review of literature

**Reviewer's code:** 00053888

**Reviewer's country:** United Kingdom

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-10-11 11:48

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-12 00:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have reported a case of a pancreatic plasmacytoma which has been extensively investigated to achieve a diagnosis. The authors are pinning the importance of their case report on achieving the diagnosis using cytology of the patients ascites! Being devils advocate most of us would just have sampled the ascites for cytological evaluation as a first move and avoided the need for further investigations!