

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 30653

**Title:** Study of rhIL-12 for treatment of complications after radiotherapy for tumor patients

**Reviewer's code:** 00579805

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-10-14 08:03

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-27 00:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dr Guo et al investigated the benefits to patients who received rhIL-12 after a precision radiation therapy. Apparently this is an important clinical research which would be of interest to both clinicians and medical research scientists. It is worth a publication. However, the MS is not publishable at its current state but could be acceptable after a major revision. A couple of areas of weakness that may need the authors' attention are: 1. There should be a summary of a clinical use of IL-12 as to its utility aside to its disadvantages the authors have pointed out. This is directly related to the novelty of the current findings with rhIL-12. 2. Throughout the MS, there are many errors in grammar, wording, and vocabulary. One example for each: a. Grammar: "Gateiy[1] has found a cytokine which can synergistically with IL-2 to promote secretion of cytotoxic T-cell (CTL) and lymphatic factor activated killer cells (LAK)" "A can synergistically with B to promote..." is wrong grammatically. Depends what the authors want to emphasize and the real situation, they may consider to say "A promotes in synergy with B..." or "A, when coupled with B, promote...". b. Wording: "The studies finally showed that the two cytokines were the same substance, known as



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

IL-12". The first cytokine mentioned is that one promote in synergy of IL-2. Thus, it is contradictory to the previous sentences. A reader could totally lose its understanding if there is a wording error. c. Vocabulary: In many places, the authors misused radiotherapy (administration of radioactive nuclide) for radiation therapy (subject to radiation irradiation). Please check every word that the authors are not confident.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 30653

**Title:** Study of rhIL-12 for treatment of complications after radiotherapy for tumor patients

**Reviewer's code:** 00468686

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-10-14 08:03

**Date reviewed:** 2016-11-01 18:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors conducted an interesting clinical study on recombinant human interleukin 12 for the prevention and treatment of complications after radiotherapy in patients with malignant tumors. The manuscript was well written. The methodology was clear and accurate. The results section was adequate. The discussion section should be following the chronological order of their methodology and results. The pitfalls of their trial should also be expanded.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 30653

**Title:** Study of rhIL-12 for treatment of complications after radiotherapy for tumor patients

**Reviewer's code:** 02439215

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-10-14 08:03

**Date reviewed:** 2016-11-04 16:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.The Imaging evaluation results is of no consequence to your article,it could delete. 2.The test was observed the patients who treated with radiotherapy once, but we know many patients needs more than one time, so I think maybe the research could go on and observing the patients who treated with repeated radiotheraopy.