



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 56369

**Title:** Technological developments enable measuring and using patient-reported outcomes data in orthopaedic clinical practice

**Reviewer's code:** 02997214

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Full Professor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-04-28

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-06-16 12:48

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-06-28 18:55

**Review time:** 12 Days and 6 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes [ ] No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Excellent review.



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 56369

**Title:** Technological developments enable measuring and using patient-reported outcomes data in orthopaedic clinical practice

**Reviewer's code:** 02953796

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Iran

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-04-28

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-07-18 03:13

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-07-18 03:49

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

World Journal of Orthopedics 18 July 2020 Manuscript ID: Reviewer's Code: 02953796

" Technological developments enable measuring and using patient-reported outcomes data in orthopaedic clinical practice" Dear editorial Teams This is well design article . However, I have several suggestions that I think would improve the quality of research:

- a) The aim of study well is not stated?
- b) Structurally article as introduction, Methods, results, and Discussion should be amended and revised.
- c) Limitations of study is not stated?
- d) Standardization of tools in ePROM is not discussed?
- e) Prediction in ePROM database is not assessed for clinical practice?
- f) Explain and discuss what the research adds to what is already known?

Parisa Azimi, MD,



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 56369

**Title:** Technological developments enable measuring and using patient-reported outcomes data in orthopaedic clinical practice

**Reviewer's code:** 02694731

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Adjunct Professor, Doctor, Senior Lecturer, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Switzerland

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-04-28

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-07-19 09:16

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-08-11 20:00

**Review time:** 23 Days and 10 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. The title should point out the advantages of evaluating PROMs via electronic media explicitly 2. The abstract outlines the topic quite well. 3. The keywords don't really correlate with the content, only in part. 4. The Background is evident and is common knowledge, so no extensive background explanation are required. this part of the text should be shortened 5. No method is described. 6. There are no results reported. 7. There is no real discussion. The entire text is more or less a philosophical discussion of digital data collection via electronic media. I miss that the advantages are contrasted with the disadvantages in a clearer structure. The message of the paper is not clearly visible. The recommendation to use electronic media is a development which offers many advantages and which is already widely used. Recommendations on how to use electronic media should be presented in more detail and more specifically. The paper should be written in a more simple language, especially fewer nested sentences.