

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 65993

Title: Simultaneous Repair of Bilateral Pectoralis Major Tendons: A Case Report

Reviewer's code: 05184170

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Specialist, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-16 11:21

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-26 23:38

Review time: 10 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think this paper is relevant and can be useful for the orthopaedic community.

Comments: <Abstract> I missed some information as the time of the injury, the surgical technique that was performed and the time of postoperative follow-up.

<Introduction> It could be more elaborate, containing more information about biomechanics, mechanisms of injury and treatment modalities. Line 32 – what is

difference between the technique you have used to what have been done so far? Line 32 – This sentence “The patient provided informed consent for all imaging, reports, and publications regarding his injury” should be on the case report topic, not on the introduction. <Case Report> I miss a more detailed surgical description. Line 41 –

Put “in” at the beginning of the sentence “the subsequent morning...”. Line 72 – Please change “was begun” for “started”. Line 73 - Please change “Starting at” for “After”. Line

75 – The abbreviation ROM was not introduced in the previous paragraphs. Line 84 – Please correct PRMOIS to PROMIS. Line 85 – Did PROMIS actually decrease with 6 months of follow-up compared to 3 months (line 80)? <Discussion> Discussion about

their novel repair technique and why it is different from others that have been published before should be included. Besides, it would be interesting to also discuss about the challenges of a bilateral procedure for this specific injury and compare their results with the existing literature. Lines 91 and 92 – Please rewrite, they are confusing. Lines 110

and 111 – As a suggestion, please change “if he had patient presented closer to his injury date” to “if the patient had appeared closer to the date of injury” Line 124 – Please

change “demonstrate” to “demonstrated”. Lines 131 to 133 – Please, be more specific about the difference in your technique compared to the other techniques that have been previously published.