

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 89079

Title: Effect of Ankle Versus Thigh Tourniquets on Post-operative Pain in Foot and

Ankle Surgery: A Prospective Study

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05711519 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-19

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-11 12:37

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-11 13:28

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1: The study lacks clarity in its classification, merely indicating a prospective design. However, the precise nature—whether cross-sectional, cohort, or descriptive—is not explicitly stated. 2: In the context of being a prospective study, was there a preregistered protocol? 3: Were there missing patient attrition or non-response during the study? If so, elucidate the details and reasons for withdrawal to enhance transparency. 4: The absence of Table 1 detailing demographic characteristics raises concerns. A comprehensive description of patient demographics, encompassing age, gender, and relevant clinical parameters, is imperative. 5: Can the correlation between the observed outcomes and patient-specific attributes, such as age, be quantified? Elaborating on the potential influence of individual characteristics on therapeutic response would fortify the study's depth.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 89079

Title: Effect of Ankle Versus Thigh Tourniquets on Post-operative Pain in Foot and

Ankle Surgery: A Prospective Study

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02489089 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: BSc, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Nurse, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Austria

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-19

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-10 11:16

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-18 19:10

Review time: 8 Days and 7 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [Y] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [Y] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[Y] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [Y] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors! After reviewing your manuscript, I have come to the following conclusion: The manuscript contains some important information about your study, but is overall lacking in detail. The introduction to the topic is far too short and the actual problem you want to investigate is not sufficiently presented. The description of the method lacks specific information on recruitment, the exact procedure for conducting the study and an initial categorization of the patients. The presentation of the results also lacks important information such as a complete description of the patient population (age, gender, previous illnesses, etc.). Overall, the discussion is presented in an acceptable manner. I therefore cannot recommend publication in the present form. A complete revision of the manuscript is necessary. Best regards! Your reviewer



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 89079

Title: Effect of ankle versus thigh tourniquets on post-operative pain in foot and ankle

surgery

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05711519 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-19

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-20 03:30

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-20 03:37

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

All the previously mentioned comments have been applied.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 89079

Title: Effect of ankle versus thigh tourniquets on post-operative pain in foot and ankle

surgery

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02489089 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: BSc, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Nurse, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Austria

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-19

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-20 10:26

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-21 08:41

Review time: 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, Thank you very much for revising the manuscript. It can be published in its present form. Best regards! Andre Ewers