

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 2582

Title: The proximal ulna anatomy, with respect to a newly designed proximal interlocking screw system at intramedullary nailing of the ulna, a cadaver study

Reviewer code: 00503636

Science editor: Huang, Xin-Zhen

Date sent for review: 2013-03-03 17:29

Date reviewed: 2013-03-09 00:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

elaborate result

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 2582

Title: The proximal ulna anatomy, with respect to a newly designed proximal interlocking screw system at intramedullary nailing of the ulna, a cadaver study

Reviewer code: 02444787

Science editor: Huang, Xin-Zhen

Date sent for review: 2013-03-03 17:29

Date reviewed: 2013-03-11 21:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Page 2 Line 26 The abbreviation IMN should be explained briefly. Line 35 the word 'solves' should be changed as 'solve' Line 38 Figure legend 'is seen' should be removed Page 3 Line 47 'were also identified' the word 'were' should be changed as 'was' Page 4 Line 59 'was sent' should be changed as 'was inserted' Line 66 'was confirmed' should be changed as 'was observed' Page 6 Line 83 Table legend should be more descriptive. Page 7 Line 95 The word 'Also' should be changed as 'moreover' Line 97 The word '2006' should be removed Line 101 The word 'to be sent' should be changed as 'to be inserted' Line 106 This sentence should be referenced. Line 108 The word 'sent' should be changed as 'inserted' Page 8 Line 114 First sentence should be more brief it is too confusing. Line 116 'less 20' should be 'less than 20' 'But' should be changed as 'However' Line 119 Also should be changed as Moreover Line 120 So should be changed as As a result The aim of the study should be added. What is the clinical limitations of this newly designed system?