

# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

**ESPS Peer-review Report** 

Name of Journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4174

**Title:** Triple pelvic osteotomy: review of literature and our mid-term results in adults.

Reviewer code: 00503636

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-20 09:11

Date reviewed: 2013-08-25 09:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ Y] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ Y] High priority for
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision
			!

### **COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Nicely addressed all the points in DDH



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

**ESPS Peer-review Report** 

Name of Journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4174

**Title:** Triple pelvic osteotomy: review of literature and our mid-term results in adults.

Reviewer code: 02444693

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review: 2013-06-20 09:11** 

Date reviewed: 2013-09-17 19:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ Y] Grade C: a great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ Y] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[Y]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

### **COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In my opinion this paper is not publishable in its current format and should be rejected or subjected to re-writing. Although a review of the literature on the results of triple osteotomies is worthwhile is needs to be much more structured and a great deal of English improvement. The authors case series is our of place the way they structured the manuscript and poorly written. I suggest that that should be prepared as a separate report which will require critical analysis of the results in the context of the results of other techniques which are routinely used and have excellent established results



# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

**ESPS Peer-review Report** 

Name of Journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

**ESPS Manuscript NO: 4174** 

**Title:** Triple pelvic osteotomy: review of literature and our mid-term results in adults.

Reviewer code: 00467034

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-20 09:11

Date reviewed: 2013-09-17 20:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for
[ Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[Y] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

### **COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Good review, few cases, I would change the title to: Triple pelvic osteotomy: report of 6 cases and literature review, and would resume the extensive review in 3 or 4 tables including results, degree of correction, rehabilitation, complications, etc.