

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7344

Title: Modern Screw Fixation in the Pediatric Cervical Spine

Reviewer code: 02446747 **Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-15 09:34

Date reviewed: 2013-11-25 15:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A (Excellent)	[] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[] Accept
[] Grade B (Very good)	[] Grade B: minor language polishing	[] Existed	[] High priority for
[] Grade C (Good)	[] Grade C: a great deal of	[] No records	publication
[] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[Y]Rejection
[Y] Grade E (Poor)	[Y] Grade D: rejected	[] Existed	[] Minor revision
		[] No records	[] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the article is well written but it is so scholastic and in the contents some important features are missing: 1: the techniques described are very well known in terms of saafety and efficacy (C1 lateral mass and C2 pedicle fixation, posterior transarticular screw fixation, lateral mass fixation for subaxial spine), so in the light of this there are no innovations in this field 2: regarding the techniques, the authors missed the anterior transarticular scew fixation, the anterior odontoid screw fixation, the modified technique for C1 lateral mass fixation (insertion point on the posterior arch and not on the lateral mass), the atlanto-axial joint jamming described by Goel and much more. 3: in the case illustrated, the authors must describe the technique used to remove the anterior odontoid process, because since few years ago the surgical technique requires the transoral approach, but nowadays the removal of odontoid process could be performed by endoscopic technique. 4: a description of screw placement for hangman fractures in pediatric patient must be added; a critic review regarding the use of anterior or posterior approach, the use of isthmic screws in these cases or anterio C2-C3 fixation. in the light of this the review must be extensively re-edited, but should be less scholastic and more critic.



Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7344

Title: Modern Screw Fixation in the Pediatric Cervical Spine

Reviewer code: 00724252 **Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-15 09:34

Date reviewed: 2013-12-03 14:45

IGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
Grade B: minor language polishing	[] Existed	[] High priority for
Grade C: a great deal of	[] No records	publication
language polishing	BPG Search:	[]Rejection
Grade D: rejected	[] Existed	[] Minor revision
	[] No records	[] Major revision
(Grade B: minor language polishing Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	Grade B: minor language polishing [] Existed Grade C: a great deal of [] No records language polishing BPG Search: Grade D: rejected [] Existed

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors provided an interesting review regarding Modern Screw Fixation in the Pediatric Cervical Spine. The authors thoroughly reviewed both the anatomical and clinical descriptions related to screw placement in the pediatric cervical spine. The only thing I'd like to request is an additional description of their experiences of pedicle screw fixation in the subaxial cervical spine.



Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7344

Title: Modern Screw Fixation in the Pediatric Cervical Spine

Reviewer code: 00501328 **Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-15 09:34

Date reviewed: 2013-12-20 19:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A (Excellent)	[] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[] Accept
[] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[] Existed	[] High priority for
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[] Grade C: a great deal of	[] No records	publication
[] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[]Rejection
[] Grade E (Poor)	[] Grade D: rejected	[] Existed	[] Minor revision
		[] No records	[Y] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This work is of interest. Few points should be addressed in order to improve the final quality of the manuscript. I would suggest authors, if I may, to expand References section (16 references do not seem enough for a review article). Title should be modified as this report is mostly a "surgical technique description". Anatomical drawings are welcomed in order to support surgical description (not all WJO readers are familiar with this topic). There is no mention of anterior approach and combined procedures (ant. + post.). What abpou historical techniques (gallie and other?). Although authors describe modern techniques only, they should include older concepts/techniques (few lines, or table would be fine). I would include a chapter dealing with fixation to the occiput (when and why?). What about PediGuard use in cervical spine surgery? Similarly I would encourage authors do discuss neuromonitoring issues (as they did for anesthesia). I would ask author to add "Authors preference" chapter for each single technique described.



Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7344

Title: Modern Screw Fixation in the Pediatric Cervical Spine

Reviewer code: 00501328 **Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-15 09:34

Date reviewed: 2013-12-20 19:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A (Excellent)	[] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[] Accept
[] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[] Existed	[] High priority for
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[] Grade C: a great deal of	[] No records	publication
[] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[]Rejection
[] Grade E (Poor)	[] Grade D: rejected	[] Existed	[] Minor revision
		[] No records	[Y] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This work is of interest. Few points should be addressed in order to improve the final quality of the manuscript. I would suggest authors, if I may, to expand References section (16 references do not seem enough for a review article). Title should be modified as this report is mostly a "surgical technique description". Anatomical drawings are welcomed in order to support surgical description (not all WJO readers are familiar with this topic). There is no mention of anterior approach and combined procedures (ant. + post.). What abpou historical techniques (gallie and other?). Although authors describe modern techniques only, they should include older concepts/techniques (few lines, or table would be fine). I would include a chapter dealing with fixation to the occiput (when and why?). What about PediGuard use in cervical spine surgery? Similarly I would encourage authors do discuss neuromonitoring issues (as they did for anesthesia). I would ask author to add "Authors preference" chapter for each single technique described.