

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 12063

Title: Rehabilitation in Spinal Infection Disease

Reviewer code: 00724250

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-06-21 00:51

Date reviewed: 2014-06-29 10:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting article on the scientific and practical level. Text well wrote and easily comprehensible, nevertheless some modifications and corrections will be desirable to reconsider final work: - There are some syntax errors in the manuscript. Please take a careful look in it and revise: for exemple + in the Abstract: In these patients early, pre-operation, post-opereasyo and in the home environment to do the basic rehabilitation approaches for patients' sensory and motor skills in improving balance and prorioception developing and patients' daily living activities in making other people's help minimize the significant contributions will provide (long sentences) + beter (repeated 3 times) + both the neurological and functional status of non-traumatic SCI patients + Author et al. + Although complications were less, neurological status was mostly paraplegic and incomplete and functional status was beter at the time of the hospitalization in the non-traumatic SCI group - Better to made a diagram of rehabilitation program to explain for readers the strategy of work by period, timing of work and duration.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 12063

Title: Rehabilitation in Spinal Infection Disease

Reviewer code: 00724252

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-06-21 00:51

Date reviewed: 2014-07-12 11:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors described the rehabilitation of patients with spinal infection disease. It has been described how to do the rehabilitation treatment at an author's hospital, they those are well described in staged rehabilitation treatment of patients with spinal infection. But, process of rehabilitation, there is a little difference by each institute, there is a difference of policy by the attending physician. The authors describe a rehabilitation plan in their hospital and that derive general conclusions is regrettable part. There is no detailed description of the degree of recovery and the recovery rate of patients in rehabilitation after treatment but did not have a way prose rehabilitation treatment of patients in the hospital of the authors. Evidence that can be claimed optimal management seems to be insufficient. I seem that need an explanation about the result of the rehabilitation treatment of spinal infection patients at authors hospital. There are many incorrect words, correction is required.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 12063

Title: Rehabilitation in Spinal Infection Disease

Reviewer code: 02488986

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-06-21 00:51

Date reviewed: 2014-07-01 10:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript submitted as a type of Evidence-Based Medicine. My suggestion is that if the authors can provide some results or analysis concerning this issue. The manuscript format is nor proper especially in paragraph, spacing and references. The manuscript need more reconstruction.