BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC
8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics
ESPS manuscript NO: 10367
Title: How Are Those "Lost to Follow-Up" Patients Really Doing? A Compliance Comparison in Arthroplasty Patients
Reviewer code: 02907389
Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen
Date sent for review: 2014-03-31 10:29
Date reviewed: 2014-04-05 15:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Existing	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Existing	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study evaluated the importance on clinical outcome of compliance with follow-up visits after TJA It's properly conducted and deals with an interesting topic. The text is properly written in all sections. I have only minor revision: ABSTRACT - Replace total joint replacement with total joint arthroplasty, since you used TJA as an abbreviation - Remove the significance level from this section RESULTS - Express the duration of follow-up in months rather than in years

BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC
8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics
ESPS manuscript NO: 10367
Title: How Are Those "Lost to Follow-Up" Patients Really Doing? A Compliance Comparison in Arthroplasty Patients
Reviewer code: 01218364
Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen
Date sent for review: 2014-03-31 10:29
Date reviewed: 2014-04-28 09:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Existing	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Existing	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations on a well written and researched study. This is an ongoing problem in monitoring total joint outcomes, but highlights 2 facts. One, that patients are generally doing quite well despite noncompliance with follow up recommendations. And two, a need for electronic monitoring of patient outcomes in a manner that may preclude office visitations. The data support the conclusions and the bibliography is appropriate and complete.

BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC
8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics
ESPS manuscript NO: 10367
Title: How Are Those "Lost to Follow-Up" Patients Really Doing? A Compliance Comparison in Arthroplasty Patients
Reviewer code: 02444795
Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen
Date sent for review: 2014-03-31 10:29
Date reviewed: 2014-05-04 21:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Existing	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor		[ ] Existing	[Y] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please make the table clearer - are the p values given with a range of values? Confidence intervals would be better. It should be 'with regard to' and not'regards'