

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 17118

Title: Recent biological trends in management of fracture non-union

Reviewer's code: 02444860

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-02-13 13:21

Date reviewed: 2015-04-19 15:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for submitting this exhaustive review on the current knowledge of fracture and nonunion healing

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 17118

Title: Recent biological trends in management of fracture non-union

Reviewer's code: 02689558

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-02-13 13:21

Date reviewed: 2015-05-04 15:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewers' comments This is a very well written comprehensive review of the various methods of promoting osteoinduction and bone regeneration. Very valuable text for the readers. Few grammatical errors which need attention. Apart from that in my point of view, discussion on the 'bone graft substitutes' and artificial osteo-inductive agents deserved a more elaborate mention in the article; the biology involved should be discussed. Although the article is mostly about the biological techniques, but if we see the current trend of Orthopaedic surgeries and implants, the bone graft substitutes and osteo-inductive agents play a great role now a days, particularly in joint reconstruction, osteoporosis and bone tumor surgeries. A little emphasis on the use of these agents and their usefulness in particular scenarios would be very welcome. It would also be nice if the authors would discuss their preferred method of biological augmentation for fracture nonunions.