

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 18583

Title: Total hip replacement for arthritis following tuberculosis of hip

Reviewer's code: 02444698

Reviewer's country: Bangladesh

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-04-24 11:25

Date reviewed: 2015-05-03 17:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I am appreciating authors for conducting good study in the field of orthopedic surgery. Objective of the study was written clearly. Title is okay. Back ground section explained the problem scenario. However I have some concern before publish the article. I am appreciating authors for conducting good study in the field of orthopedic surgery. Objective of the study was written clearly. Title is okay. Back ground section explained the problem scenario. However I have some concern before publish the article. It is better not use abbreviation before mentioning the full, like THA in abstract section Methodology section described well however it can be restructured for better understanding. Description of population was written clearly. However, it can be restructured considering following heading, study place, population (can include inclusion and exclusion criteria), data collection procedure and data analysis plan. This restructuring helps to put information in appropriate section. Some information from methodology can be put in result section. Similarly some of the text can be put in methodology section. Presentation of data in result section needs to be reorganized to support the conclusion of this article. Author can see how improvement was varied with age and sex.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 18583

Title: Total hip replacement for arthritis following tuberculosis of hip

Reviewer's code: 02444802

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-04-24 11:25

Date reviewed: 2015-05-07 22:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This clinical paper analyses the patient response data to tuberculosis of the hip and varying therapies applied. The paper content is a novel comparison of data to a niche area that will be of interest to WJO audience. Minor revisions include improving grammar and typos e.g. fig 1b legend with typo 'protrusio' and grammar 'done' which is clumsy. Both figures and legends could be improved by indicated regions / items of interest and explaining in the legend