

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 17041

Title: Superior labral anterior posterior lesions of the shoulder - current diagnostic and therapeutic standards

Reviewer's code: 00505429

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-02-09 15:47

Date reviewed: 2015-02-10 23:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General comments: Overall, the manuscript includes the key areas that should be discussed and reviewed for the evaluation and management of SLAP lesions. There are a number of areas where additional discussion and detail should be presented and some remarks should be softened based on existing evidence. Practical recommendations should be offered throughout the manuscript as well. There are grammatical improvements that can be made but these could ultimately be addressed during copyediting (should the manuscript be accepted for publication). Specific comments will be listed below. Page 5: Pathophysiology – The first portion of the section which describes the different biceps tendon attachments seems out of place and does not add key information to the section. This can likely be removed. Page 5: “The Buford complex.....” this statement should have an appropriate citation. Page 6: Chronic/degenerative SLAP lesions – This section should at minimum describe the stresses in detail and how the labrum can be worn down over time. Page 6: Glenohumeral internal rotation deficit (GIRD) – the deficit alone is not GIRD. There is much more detail to be provided as what GIRD is and how it can lead to SLAP lesions. For a recent reference, please review Kibler et al

Arthroscopy 29 (1) 2013. Page 6: "Subsequently, the humeral head switches...." I do not understand the use of the word "switches". I believe the term should be "migrates" or "translates". Page 7: Please define kinetic chain. Additionally, "scapular dyskinesia" is incorrect. The proper term is dyskinesia when describing abnormal motion because dyskinesia is reserved for the loss or alteration of voluntary motion. The majority of scapular kinematics are accessory motions and thus not voluntary. Kibler's article from 1998 is foundational however a more recent reference would be Kibler et al BJSM 47: 2013. Page 10: "A huge number of tests...." I agree with the statements in this paragraph however provide the reader with some suggestions as to tests you advocate based on the literature and your own clinical experience. Page 10: "Initial reports of specific SLAP tests....." A more appropriate reference would be Hegedus BJSM 2012 Page 16: SLAP lesion and SLAP repair - The information in this section is critical to discuss however there should be more discussion about the flaws of those articles being referenced, primarily the variable rates of return could be due to multiple confounding variables not consistently accounted for including differences between studies in population demographics, surgical details related to surgical technique, surgeon experience, hardware used, and post-surgical rehabilitation parameters. Page 17: SLAP lesion and tenodesis - I would caution making strong statements about advocating the use of tenodesis for treatment in overhead athletes. There is literature that suggests it is not the best option especially in baseball players. The citations provided in the manuscript need to be placed in context to lessen the bias. In particular, Boileau et al AJSM 2009 failed to identify the type of sports the subjects participated in and that only those over the age of 30 received a tenodesis. It wasn't a straight forward comparison so it is difficult to support doing a tenodesis on all overhead athletes as implied.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 17041

Title: Superior labral anterior posterior lesions of the shoulder - current diagnostic and therapeutic standards

Reviewer's code: 00738830

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-02-09 15:47

Date reviewed: 2015-03-01 06:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very good and comprehensively written review.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 17041

Title: Superior labral anterior posterior lesions of the shoulder - current diagnostic and therapeutic standards

Reviewer's code: 00503876

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-02-09 15:47

Date reviewed: 2015-02-12 13:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please submit again to a more reinforcement