

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 16941

Title: Outcomes of tenodesis of the long head of the biceps tendon more than 3 mo after rupture

Reviewer's code: 02577402

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2015-02-04 20:47

Date reviewed: 2015-03-28 12:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors investigated the outcomes of tenodesis of the long head of the biceps tendon more than 3 months after rupture compared with those performed within 3 months, and found that the outcomes are similar after 3 months rupture to those within 3 months rupture. Specific comments: 1. The language needs to be improved since there are grammar mistakes here and there. For example, grammar mistakes in lines 136, 139, 177-178, 182-184, 204-206. 2. Abstract: In the RESULTS, the authors stated that "No statistical difference was found for DASH and Mayo outcome scores between the two groups ($P < 0.001$)". Please give the specific outcome data for the DASH and Mayo outcome scores. In addition, the P value should be > 0.05 rather than < 0.001 . This is wrong. In the RESULTS in the text, the P value is greater than 0.05. Please check and rewrite this result. 3. Key words: Please delete one key word from the current ones. 4. DISCUSSION: In the first passage, the authors listed a lot of studies in lines 161-171. Do not list these studies here, please make a summary like "Studies demonstrated that a complete recovery rate of 89%-94.2% (citations)" and so on. Rewrite this part please. 5. Tables: please merge Table 1a with Table II a, merge Table 1 b with Table II b, and also



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

merge Tables III a with Table III b.