

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 19872

Title: Bernese periacetabular osteotomy for hip dysplasia: Surgical technique and indications

Reviewer's code: 03065412

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-05-25 14:55

Date reviewed: 2015-08-30 18:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nil additional.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 19872

Title: Bernese periacetabular osteotomy for hip dysplasia: Surgical technique and indications

Reviewer's code: 02691156

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-05-25 14:55

Date reviewed: 2015-09-02 14:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The submitted manuscript is reviewing the current literature regarding the "Bernese Periacetabular Osteotomy for Hip Dysplasia: Surgical Technique and Indications". The title is referring directly to the problem. The abstract is sufficient and the Introduction is referring to the problem at hand. Material and Methods are missing and so Results. Discussion is included to the conclusions and finally the submitted manuscript is ending with 13 references [Only in PubMed are cited 96 references]. It is not clear if the "current literature" is an at random selection from the available data bases. Scientific papers should be organized in a simple way in order to facilitate both the specialized and the common reader. A review of the current literature is a manuscript considering the present status of current knowledge to a particular topic. Among the instructions to authors of WJO is included manuscript preparation; where, Abstracts should be structured into the following sections: Aim or purpose of the study, Methods, Results, and Conclusion. The Text should be structured into the following sections: Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion. The structure of the present manuscript is different from the one used by the WJO. Also the lacking of photos, x-rays



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

and drawings is obvious and its presence definitely will help both the experienced and the common reader to understand better the described procedure. Although the submitted manuscript it is an interesting one and possesses a detailed description of the procedure valuable both for the specialized and the common reader, the present review, cannot be published in its present form in the WJO.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 19872

Title: Bernese periacetabular osteotomy for hip dysplasia: Surgical technique and indications

Reviewer's code: 02919498

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-05-25 14:55

Date reviewed: 2015-06-25 16:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a well described manuscript but some important missing should be added. 1. Radiological Description of the asetabular dysplasia should be added. 2. Other triple pelvis osteotomies can be mentioned in one paragraph.