

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 25189

**Title:** Femoro Patella Vialla patellofemoral arthroplasty: An independent assessment of outcomes at minimum 2 year follow-up

**Reviewer's code:** 02444795

**Reviewer's country:** United Kingdom

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-02-27 19:14

**Date reviewed:** 2016-03-07 03:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please list the cause of PFJ arthritis - eg post fracture, instability, dysplasia, etc Please provide a power calculation to confirm what numbers would realistically be needed to see any clear difference between subgroups

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 25189

**Title:** Femoro Patella Vialla patellofemoral arthroplasty: An independent assessment of outcomes at minimum 2 year follow-up

**Reviewer's code:** 02444802

**Reviewer's country:** United Kingdom

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-02-27 19:14

**Date reviewed:** 2016-03-05 02:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have submitted a clinical analysis of the efficacy of using FPV patellofemoral joint replacement as a good alternative to total knee replacement in the surgical management of advanced isolated patellofemoral osteoarthritis. The authors have a relatively good selection of patients in the clinical trial (41 replacements in 31 patients) with radiographic observations and lysholm scoring techniques. The paper is well written and will be of interest to WJO readers (albeit a relatively niche joint area). Figure 1 should be revised to be publishable quality.