

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 28940

Title: Titanium elastic nailing in diaphyseal femoral fractures of children below six years of age

Reviewer's code: 02587968

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-07-26 09:01

Date reviewed: 2016-08-09 16:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer comments ? Encouraged by the good results of the titanium elastic nails (TEN) in the adolescent age the authors want to extend its indication into the preschool age. ? This is good but it is well known that the healing power is high, the remodeling power is also high and the range of acceptance is wide in this age group. So, the conservative treatment is the gold standard. ? Operative treatment should be reserved for certain cases such as poly trauma patient, unstable fracture with risk of redisplacement and difficulty to obtain an acceptable reduction. ? So, there should be clear inclusion criteria to justify the need for surgery in that group of patients. ? It is unwise to change the rational of treatment on the basis of parent satisfaction. It is unlogic to do surgery for undisplaced fractures. ? Also there are some drawbacks for the TEN such as irritation at the entry point, risk of growth plate injury and the need for second operation to remove the pins. These drawbacks are not mentioned in the text clearly. ? These drawbacks are not present with the conservative treatment and they should be mentioned is advantages for the conservative treatment. ? The conclusion is not logic. You can not propose TEN as the method of choice on the base of this small study. ? Most of



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

the references are outdated, recent ones must be added.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 28940

Title: Titanium elastic nailing in diaphyseal femoral fractures of children below six years of age

Reviewer's code: 02699758

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-07-26 09:01

Date reviewed: 2016-08-05 14:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors demonstrated an excellent result for treatment of diaphyseal femoral fractures in children with TEN. The paper is well written. I recommend this manuscript to be accepted with minor revision. Comments 1. Numbers of subjects were relatively small and no comparison groups were set in this study. It would be desirable that more subjects and control group, i.e., conservative treatment group or other type of surgery, were set. But follow-up period is enough to compromise those problems. 2. Please describe more details of the operative procedure, i.e., how to make an entry hole or how to decide the size of TEN. 3. Does the author have any comments on Kirschner wire or Ender nail instead of TEN because TEN is not available in some countries?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 28940

Title: Titanium elastic nailing in diaphyseal femoral fractures of children below six years of age

Reviewer's code: 02704973

Reviewer's country: Thailand

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-07-26 09:01

Date reviewed: 2016-08-29 00:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study is a retrospective study of the outcome after TEN treatment in preschool femoral fracture. I would suggest (1) Please present the figures of surgical steps (2) The descriptive statistic study should be used to present for the demographic data.